IPM12: "Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency – Ways out of the economic crisis!"



Access to capital: The business case

Graham CHESHIRE





Agenda

- **1.** Frankfurt School of Finance & Management
- 2. Profitability: Wind, Geo-thermal, Solar, Bio-gas and Hydro-electric
- 3. Energy efficiency projects
- 4. Conclusions: Financing availability for Sustainable Energy: Debt and Equity





Our products and services focus on the needs and requirements of the financial sector.

- University bachelor-, master-, and PhD programmes
- Education professional education courses for bankers which may be pursued in parallel with full-time employment
- Research emphases on financial economics, practical quantitative finance, management, international health management, and development/climate finance
- Consulting International Advisory Services for development finance

Frankfurt School of Finance & Management



International Advisory Services

We aim at contributing to the development of financial markets globally, as to make them more stable and inclusive at the same time.

 Our target group: All stakeholders in the financial sector in developing and transition countries as well as emerging markets

Our goal: Establishment of high-performance banking and financial sectors

Our competence centres:

Micro-banking Fund Management SME Finance Rural Finance

Sustainable Energy Finance

Risk Management

Housing Finance



Sustainable Energy Finance Competence Centre

Services of our Sustainable Energy Finance Competence Centre

- Assisting financial institutions in building up a successful business segment to finance energy efficiency (EE) and renewable energy (RE) investments
 - EE/RE lending and marketing strategy
 - Loan product development for different business segments (Retail, Micro, SME, Corporate), including standardisation of the respective EE/RE investments
 - EE/RE finance training courses and on-site coaching for financial institutions and investors (financial and technical aspects)
 - Loan portfolio screening (and clustering) to come across clients with high potential for EE/RE investment measures
 - Energy/CO₂ savings calculations
- Feasibility studies market potential for sustainable energy finance
- Sustainable Energy Finance Summer Academy



UNEP/FS Collaborating Centre

We have a strategic partnership with UNEP: Collaborating Centre for Climate and Sustainable Energy Finance

- Climate Finance Innovation Facility, Asia
- Seed Capital Assistance Facility, Africa
- End-user Finance for Access to Clean Energy Technologies, Southeast Asia
- National Climate Finance Institutions Support Programme, worldwide
- Microfinance for Ecosystem-based Adaptation to Climate Change, Latin America
- Global Trends Report
- Sustainable Energy Finance Summer Academy
- Research and education



Agenda

- 1. Frankfurt School of Finance & Management
- 2. Profitability/Risk: Wind, Geo-thermal, Hydro-electric, and Bio-Gas
- 3. Energy Efficiency Projects
- 4. Conclusions: Financing availability for Sustainable Energy: Debt and Equity



Profitability of Wind Power Projects: Investor perspective

		Base Case	Low Production	High Production	Feed-in Tariff
Electricity Production (kWh / Year)		36,000,000	31,900,000	40,400,000	36,000,000
Exc. Cost of Debt	NPV	9,514,538	6,885,402	13,360,339	141,111
	IRR %	13.3	11.6	15.5	7.1
	SPB (Years)	5.9	6.6	5.1	9.2
Inc. Cost of Debt	NPV	8,801,135	6,171,998	12,646,936	(572,293)
	IRR %	12.7	11.1	14,9	6.6
	SPB (Years)	6.2	7.0	5.4	9.7

Project Investment EUR 18mio.Debt:Equity 85:15

8



Risk of Wind Power Projects: Bank perspective



9





CFADS, Debt Service, DSCR comparison using guaranteed Feed-in-Tariff Prices



Profitability of Geothermal Power Projects: Investor perspective

		Base Case
Without Cost Of	NPV 7%	22,341,019
imanenig	IRR %	13.0
	Simple Payback	6.5
		Base Case
With Cost Of	NPV 7%	12,798,717
rmanenig	IRR %	10.2
	Simple Payback	8.4

Project Investment EUR 50mio.Debt:Equity 70:30



Risk of Geothermal Power Projects: Bank perspective





Profitability of Hydro-Electric Power Projects: Investor perspective

			High	Low	Low
Without Cost Of Financing		Base Case	Production	Production	Price
	NPV %7	13,727,369	19,004,204	8,356,777	-3,465,454
	IRR %	11.37%	12.87%	9.75%	5.74%
	Simple Payback	9.49	8.62	10.61	14.51
		Base Case	High Production	Low Production	Low Price
Of Financing	NPV %7	3,037,500	8,323,918	-2,342,622	-14,179,459
	IRR %	7.86%	9.30%	6.31%	2.40%
	Simple Payback	12.00	10.86	13.48	18.72
Electricity Production		56,600 MWh/y	63,000 MWh/y	50,100 MWh	56,600 /y MWh/y

Project Investment USD 43mio (EUR 34mio).

.....Debt:Equity 60:40



Risk of Hydro-Electric Power Projects: Bank perspective



CFADS, Debt Service, DSCR comparison in Base Case scenario using forecast market electricity prices







CFADS, Debt Service, DSCR comparison using guaranteed Feed-in-Tariff Prices



Profitability of **Bio-Gas** Power Projects: Investor perspective

		Base Case
	NPV %7	EU 1.3 mio
Financing	IRR %	14.9%
5	Simple Payback	7.6 years
		19 004

Electricity Output MWh

Project Investment EUR 11 mio.....Debt:Equity 62:38



Risk of Bio-gas Power Projects: Bank perspective



CFADS, Debt Service, DSCR comparison using guaranteed Feed-in-Tariff Prices and current Green certificate price





Agenda

- 1. Frankfurt School of Finance & Management
- 2. Profitability/Risk: Wind, Geo-thermal, Hydro-electric, and Bio-Gas
- **3. Energy Efficiency Projects**
- 4. Conclusions: Financing availability for Sustainable Energy: Debt and Equity





Energy Efficiency Projects

- Financial analysis is based on the advantage gained by the additional investment.
- e.g. Computer controlled LED lighting systems instead of traditional lighting What is the NPV and IRR profitability gain?
- E.g. Underground perishable food storage instead of above ground cooling systems ...
- Each project needs to be treated on its merits and energy engineers must be very creative thinkers.....





Agenda

- 1. Frankfurt School of Finance & Management
- 2. Profitability/Risk: Wind, Geo-thermal, Hydro-electric, and Bio-Gas
- 3. Energy Efficiency Projects
- 4. Conclusions: Financing availability for Sustainable Energy: Debt and Equity



Banks and the financial crisis

- Commercial bankers require more equity to mitigate default risk and to make renewable energy projects more bankable in the wake of the financial crisis.
- This higher equity requirement is more necessary also in the light of the upcoming BASEL III capital increases in support of lending.
- Commercial banks are less motivated to use their capital for long maturity loans which reduce their fee earning capacity (higher capital investment turnover = higher fee generation)

Currently there is evidence of a shift from bank debt financing to (quasi) Equity financing for RE projects



Equity Investors and the financial crisis

- Seek the best risk/return relationship in similar sectors.
- Since the financial crisis investors and equity funds have less room for manouvre.
- They seek « safe investments » with more modest returns than in previous times; often preferring to refinance existing investments to green-field projects (construction risk).
- Start-up equity is difficult to find or prohibitively expensive for RE and EE projects.
- Equity funds often seek access to the same collateral as banks.
- Often large conglomerates invest their equity in their own RE and EE projects, but small specialty promoters have difficulties in obtaining equity.



How can the Tax-payer and Politicians help to promote EE and RE investment?

- Mitigate the shortage of available collateral by
 - Lowering Debt to Equity requirements of public banks thus taking more risk.
 - Providing Debt and Quasi-Equity guarantee instruments.
 - Continue to give preference to, and to encourage, Renewable Energy power resources over fossil fuel resources by prioritising RE offtake (with financial rewards). Thus ensuring the cash flow of RE projects for debt servicing and equity investor reward.



Graham Cheshire Frankfurt School of Finance & Management International Advisory Services g.cheshire@fs.de

T 00352 621 198872